

GEN

ALLEN COUNTY PUBLIC LIBRARY



3 1833 03107 2751

Gc 974 M605e

Miller, Perry, 1905-1963.

Errand into the wilderness

✓

A N A D D R E S S

ERRAND
INTO
THE
WILDERNESS

P E R R Y M I L L E R

Errand
Into the Wilderness



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2019

Errand Into the Wilderness

AN ADDRESS

by

PERRY MILLER

The William and Mary Quarterly
for THE ASSOCIATES OF THE JOHN CARTER
BROWN LIBRARY. Williamsburg, 1952

THIS address by Perry Miller, Professor of American Literature, Harvard University, was delivered to the Associates of the John Carter Brown Library at their annual meeting on May 16, 1952. It was first published in *The William and Mary Quarterly* for January, 1953. Through the courtesy of the Editors of the *Quarterly* it has been re-issued in separate form for distribution to the Associates.

Allen County Public Library
900 Webster Street
PO Box 2270
Fort Wayne, IN 46801-2270

Errand
Into the Wilderness

THE ADDRESS

IT was a happy inspiration that led the staff of the John Carter Brown Library to choose as the title of its New England exhibition of 1952 a phrase from Samuel Danforth's election sermon, delivered on May 11, 1670: *A Brief Recognition of New England's Errand into the Wilderness*. It was of course an inspiration, if not of genius at least of talent, for Danforth to invent his title in the first place. But all the election sermons of this period—that is to say, the major expressions of the second generation, which, delivered on these forensic occasions, were in the fullest sense community expression—have interesting titles; a mere listing tells the story of what was happening to the minds and emotions of the New England people: John Higginson's *The Cause of God and His People In New-England* of 1663, William Stoughton's *New England's True Interest, Not to Lie* in 1668, Thomas Shepard's *Eye-Salve* in 1672, Urian Oakes's *New England Pleaded With* in 1673, and, climactically and most explicitly, Increase Mather's *A Discourse Concerning the Danger of Apostasy* in 1677.

All of these show by their title-pages alone—and, as those who have looked into them know, infinitely more by their contents—a deep disquietude. They are troubled utterances, worried, fearful. Something has gone wrong. As in 1662 Wigglesworth already was saying in verse, God has a controversy with New England; He has cause to be angry and to punish it because of its innumerable defections. They say, unanimously, that New England was sent on an errand, and that it has failed.

To our ears these lamentations of the second generation sound strange

indeed. We think of the founders as heroic men—of the towering stature of Bradford, Winthrop, and Thomas Hooker—who braved the ocean and the wilderness, who conquered both, and left to their children a goodly heritage. Why then this whimpering?

Some historians suggest that the second and third generations suffered a failure of nerve; they weren't the men their fathers had been, and they knew it. Where the founders could range over the vast body of theology and ecclesiastical polity and produce profound works like the treatises of John Cotton or the subtle psychological analyses of Hooker, or even such a gusty though wrong-headed book as Nathaniel Ward's *Simple Cobler*, let alone such lofty and right-headed pleas as Roger Williams' *Bloudy Tenent*, all these children could do was tell each other that they were on probation and that their chances of making good did not seem very promising.

Since Puritan intellectuals were thoroughly grounded in grammar and rhetoric, we may be certain that Danforth was fully aware of the ambiguity concealed in his word "errand." It already had taken on the double meaning which it still carries with us. Originally, as the word first took form in English, it meant exclusively a short journey on which an inferior is sent to convey a message or to perform a service for his superior. In that sense we today speak of an "errand-boy"; or the husband says that while in town on his lunch hour, he must run an errand for his wife. But by the end of the Middle Ages, errand developed another connotation: it came to mean the actual business on which the actor goes, the purpose itself, the conscious intention in his mind. In this signification, the runner of the errand is working for himself, is his own boss; the wife, while the husband is away at the office, runs her own errands. Now in the 1660's the problem was: which had New England originally been—an errand-boy or a doer of errands? In which sense had it failed? Had it been despatched for a further purpose, or was it an end in itself? Or had it fallen short not only in one or the other, but in both of the meanings? If so, it was indeed a tragedy, in the primitive sense of a fall from a mighty designation.

If the children were in grave doubt as to which had been the original errand—if, in fact, those of the founders who lived into the later period and who might have set their progeny to rights found themselves wondering and confused—there is little chance of our answering clearly. Of course,

there is no problem about Plymouth Colony. That is the charm about Plymouth: its clarity. The Pilgrims, as we have learned to call them, were reluctant voyagers; they had never wanted to leave England, but had been obliged to depart because the authorities made life impossible for Separatists. They could, naturally, have stayed at home, had they given up being Separatists, but that idea simply did not occur to them. Yet they did not go to Holland as though on an errand; neither can we extract the notion of a mission out of the reasons which, as Bradford tells us, persuaded them to leave Leyden for "Virginia." The war with Spain was about to be resumed, and the economic threat was ominous; their migration was not so much an errand as a shrewd forecast, a plan to get out while the getting was good, lest, should they stay, they would be "intrapped or surrounded by their enemies, so as they should neither be able to fight nor flie." True, once the decision was taken, they congratulated themselves that they might become a means for propagating the gospel in remote parts of the world, and thus of serving as stepping-stones to others in the performance of this great work; nevertheless, the substance of their decision was that they "thought it better to dislodge betimes to some place of better advantage and less danger, if any such could be found." The great hymn that Bradford, looking back in his old age, chanted about the landfall is one of the greatest passages, if not the very greatest, in all New England's literature; yet it does not resound with the sense of a mission accomplished—instead, it vibrates with the sorrow and exultation of suffering, the sheer endurance, the pain and the anguish, with the somberness of death faced unflinchingly:

May not and ought not the children of these fathers rightly say: Our fathers were Englishmen which came over this great ocean, and were ready to perish in this wilderness; but they cried unto the Lord, and he heard their voyce, and looked on their adversitie . . .

We are bound, I think, to see in Bradford's account the prototype of the vast majority of subsequent immigrants—of those Oscar Handlin calls "The Uprooted": they came for better advantage and for less danger, and to give their posterity the opportunity of success.

The Great Migration of 1630 is an entirely other story. True, among the reasons John Winthrop drew up in 1629 to persuade himself and his

colleagues that they should commit themselves to the enterprise, the economic motive frankly figures. Wise men thought England was overpopulated and that the poor would have a better chance in the new land. But Massachusetts Bay was not just an organization of immigrants seeking advantage and opportunity. It had a positive sense of mission—either it was sent on an errand or it had its own intention, but in either case the deed was deliberate. It was an act of will, perhaps of willfulness. These Puritans were not driven out of England (thousands of their fellows stayed and fought the Cavaliers)—they went of their own accord.

So, concerning them, we ask the question, why? If we are not altogether clear as to precisely how we should phrase the answer, this is not because they themselves were reticent. They spoke as fully as they knew how, and none more magnificently or cogently than John Winthrop in the midst of the passage itself, when he delivered a lay sermon aboard the flagship *Arbella* and called it *A Modell of Christian Charity*. It distinguishes the motives of this great enterprise from those of Bradford's forlorn retreat, and especially from those of the masses who later have come in quest of advancement. Hence, for the student of New England and of America, it is a fact demanding incessant brooding that John Winthrop selected as the "doctrine" of this discourse, and so as the basic proposition to which, it then seemed to him, the errand was committed, the thesis that God had disposed mankind in a hierarchy of social classes, so that "in all times some must be rich, some poore, some highe and eminent in power and dignitie; others mean and in subjeccion." It is as though, preternaturally sensing what the promise of America might come to signify for the rank and file, Winthrop took the precaution to drive out of their heads any notion that in the wilderness the poor and the mean were ever so to improve themselves as to mount above the rich or the eminent in dignity. Were there any who had signed up under the mistaken impression that such was the purpose of their errand, Winthrop told them that, although other peoples, lesser breeds, might come for wealth or pelf, this migration was specifically dedicated to an avowed end that had nothing to do with incomes. We have entered into an explicit covenant with God, "we have professed to enterprise these accions upon these and these ends"; we have drawn up indentures with the Almighty, wherefore if we succeed and do not let ourselves get diverted into making

money, He will reward us. Whereas if we fail, if we “fall to embrace this present world and prosecute our carnall intencions, seekeing greate things for our selves and our posterity, the Lord will surely breake out in wrathe against us, be revenged of such a periured people and make us knowe the price of the breache of such a Covenant.”

Well, what terms were agreed upon in this covenant? Winthrop could say precisely—“It is by a mutuall consent through a specially overruleing providence, and a more then ordinary approbation of the Churches of Christ to seeke out a place of Cohabitation and Consorteshipp under a due forme of Government both civill and ecclesiasticall.” If it could be said thus concretely, why should there be any ambiguity? There was no doubt whatsoever as to what Winthrop meant by a due form of ecclesiastical government: he meant the pure Biblical polity set forth in full detail by the New Testament, that method which later generations, in the days of increasing confusion, would settle down to calling Congregational, but which for Winthrop was no denominational peculiarity but the very essence of organized Christianity. What a due form of civil government meant, therefore, became crystal clear: a political regime, possessing power, which would consider its main function to be the setting up, the protecting and preserving of this form of polity. This due form would have, at the very beginning of its list of responsibilities, the duty of suppressing heresy, of subduing or somehow getting rid of dissenters—of being, in short, deliberately, vigorously, and consistently intolerant.

Regarded in this light, the Massachusetts Bay Company came on an errand in the second and later sense of the word: it was, so to speak, on its own business. What it set out to do was the sufficient reason for its setting out. About this Winthrop seems to be perfectly certain as he declares specifically what the due forms will be attempting: the end is to improve our lives to do more service to the Lord, to increase the body of Christ and to preserve our posterity from the corruptions of this evil world, so that they in turn shall work out their salvation under the purity and power of Biblical ordinances. Because the errand was so definable in advance, certain conclusions about the method of conducting it were equally evident: one, obviously, was that those sworn to the covenant should not be allowed to turn aside in a lust for mere physical rewards; but another was, in Winthrop’s simple but splendid words,

“we must be knitt together in this worke as one man, wee must entertaine each other in brotherly affection,” we must actually delight in each other, “always having before our eyes our Commission and community in the worke, our community as members of the same body.” This was to say, were the great purpose kept steadily in mind, if all gazed only at it and strove only for it, then social solidarity (within a scheme of fixed and unalterable class distinctions) would be an automatic consequence. A society despatched upon an errand that is its own reward would want no other rewards: it could go forth to possess a land without ever becoming possessed by it; social gradations would remain eternally what God had originally appointed; there would be no internal contention among groups or interests, and though there would be hard work for everybody, prosperity would be bestowed not as a consequence of labor but as a sign of approval upon the mission itself. For once in the history of humanity (with all its sins), there would be a society so dedicated to a holy cause that success would prove innocent and triumph not raise up sinful pride or arrogant dissension.

Or, at least, this would come about if the people did not deal falsely with God, if they would live up to the articles of their bond. If we do not perform these terms, Winthrop warned, we may expect immediate manifestations of divine wrath, we shall perish out of the land we are crossing the sea to possess. And here in the 1660's and 1670's, all the jeremiads (of which Danforth's is one of the most poignant) are castigations of the people for having defaulted on precisely these articles. They recite the long list of afflictions an angry God had rained upon them, surely enough to prove how abysmally they had deserted the covenant: crop failures, epidemics, grasshoppers, caterpillars, torrid summers, arctic winters, Indian wars, hurricanes, shipwrecks, accidents, and (most grievous of all) unsatisfactory children. The solemn work of the election day, said Stoughton in 1668, is “Foundation-work”—not, that is, to lay a new one, “but to continue, and strengthen, and beautifie, and build upon that which has been laid.” It had been laid in the covenant before even a foot was set ashore, and thereon New England should rest. Hence the terms of survival, let alone of prosperity, remained what had first been propounded:

If we should so frustrate and deceive the Lords Expectations, that his Cove-

nant-interest in us, and the Workings of his Salvation be made to cease, then All were lost indeed; Ruine upon Ruine, Destruction upon Destruction would come, until one stone were not left upon another.

Since so much of the literature after 1660—in fact, just about all of it—dwells on this theme of declension and apostasy, would not the story of New England seem to be simply that of the failure of a mission? Winthrop's dread was realized: posterity had not found their salvation amid pure ordinances but had, despite the ordinances, yielded to the seductions of the good land. Hence distresses were being piled upon them, the slaughter of King Philip's War and now the attack of a profligate King upon the sacred charter. By about 1680, it did in truth seem that shortly no stone would be left upon another, that history would record of New England that the founders had been great men, but that their children and grandchildren progressively deteriorated.

This would certainly seem to be the impression conveyed by the assembled clergy and lay elders who, in 1679, met at Boston in a formal Synod, under the leadership of Increase Mather, and there prepared a report on why the land suffered. The result of their deliberation, published under the title *The Necessity of Reformation*, was the first in what has proved to be a distressingly long succession of investigations into the civic health of Americans, and it is probably the most pessimistic. The land was afflicted, it said, because corruption had proceeded apace; assuredly, if the people did not quickly reform, the last blow would fall and nothing but desolation be left. Into what a moral quagmire this dedicated community had sunk, the Synod did not leave to imagination; it published a long and detailed inventory of sins, crimes, misdemeanors, and nasty habits, which makes, to say the least, interesting reading.

We hear much talk nowadays about corruption, most of it couched in generalized terms. If we ask our current Jeremiahs to descend to particulars, they tell us that the Republic is going on the rocks, or to the dogs, because the wives of politicians aspire to wear mink coats and their husbands take a moderate five-percent cut on certain deals to pay for the garments. The Puritans were devotees of logic, and the verb "methodize" ruled their thinking. When the Synod went to work, it had before it a succession of sermons, such as that of Danforth and the other election-day

or fast-day orators, as well as such works as Increase Mather's *A Brief History of the Warr With the Indians*, wherein the decimating conflict with Philip was presented as a revenge upon the people for their transgressions. When the Synod felt obliged to enumerate the enormities of the land so that the people could recognize just how far short of their errand they had fallen, it did not, in the modern manner, assume that regeneration would be accomplished at the next election by turning the rascals out, but it digested this body of literature; it reduced the contents to method. The result is a staggering compendium of iniquity, organized into twelve headings.

First, there was a great and visible decay of godliness. Second, there were several manifestations of pride—contention in the churches, insubordination of inferiors toward superiors, particularly of those inferiors who had, unaccountably, acquired more wealth than their betters, and, finally, a shocking extravagance in attire, especially on the part of these of the meaner sort, who persisted in dressing beyond their means. Third, there were heretics, especially Quakers and Anabaptists. Fourth, a notable increase in swearing and a spreading disposition to sleep at sermons (these two phenomena seemed basically connected). Fifth, the Sabbath was wantonly violated. Sixth, family government had decayed, and fathers no longer kept their sons and daughters from prowling at night. Seventh, instead of the people being knit together as one man in mutual love, they were full of contention, so that lawsuits were on the increase and lawyers were thriving. Under the eighth head, the Synod described the sins of sex and alcohol, thus producing some of the juiciest prose of the period: militia days had become orgies, taverns were crowded; women threw temptation in the way of befuddled men by wearing false locks and displaying naked necks and arms “or, which is more abominable, naked Breasts”; there was “mixed Dancings” along with light behavior and “Company-keeping” with vain persons, wherefore the bastardy rate was rising. In 1672, there was actually an attempt to supply Boston with a brothel (it was suppressed, but the Synod was bearish about the future). Ninth, New Englanders were betraying a marked disposition to tell lies, especially when selling anything. In the tenth place, the business morality of even the most righteous left everything to be desired: the wealthy speculated in land and raised prices excessively; “Day-Labourers and Mechan-

icks are unreasonable in their demands." In the eleventh place, the people showed no disposition to reform, and in the twelfth, they seemed utterly destitute of civic spirit.

"The things here insisted on," said the Synod, "have been oftentimes mentioned and inculcated by those whom the Lord hath set as Watchmen to the house of Israel." Indeed they had been, and thereafter they continued to be even more inculcated. At the end of the century, the Synod's report was serving as a kind of handbook for preachers: they would take some verse of Isaiah or Jeremiah, set up the doctrine that God avenges the iniquities of a chosen people, and then run down the twelve heads, merely bringing the list up to date by inserting the new and still more depraved practices an ingenious people kept on devising. I suppose that in the whole literature of the world, including the satirists of imperial Rome, there is hardly such another uninhibited and unrelenting documentation of a people's descent into corruption.

I have elsewhere endeavored to argue that, while the social or economic historian may read this literature for its contents—and so construct from the expanding catalogue of denunciations a record of social progress—the cultural anthropologist will look slightly askance at these jeremiads; he will exercise a methodological caution about taking them at face value. If you read them all through, the total effect, curiously enough, is not at all depressing: you come to the paradoxical realization that they do not bespeak a despairing frame of mind. There is something of a ritualistic incantation about them; whatever they may signify in the realm of theology, in that of psychology they are purgations of soul; they do not discourage but actually encourage the community to persist in its heinous conduct. The exhortation to a reformation which never materializes serves as a token payment upon the obligation, and so liberates the debtors. Changes there had to be: adaptations to environment, expansion of the frontier, mansions constructed, commercial adventures undertaken. These activities had not been specifically nominated in the bond Winthrop had framed. They were thrust upon the society by American experience; because they were not only works of necessity but of excitement, they proved irresistible—whether making money, haunting taverns, or committing fornication. Land speculation meant not only wealth but dispersion of the people, and what was to stop the march of settlement? The covenant doc-

trine preached on the *Arbella* had been formulated in England, where land was not to be had for the taking; its adherents had been utterly oblivious of what the fact of a frontier would do for an imported order, let alone for a European mentality. Hence I suggest that under the guise of this mounting wail of sinfulness, this incessant and never successful cry for repentance, the Puritans launched themselves upon the process of Americanization.

However, there are still more pertinent or more analytical things to be said of this body of expression. If you compare it with the great productions of the founders, you will be struck by the fact that the second and third generations had become oriented toward the social, and only the social, problem; herein they were deeply and profoundly different from their fathers. The finest creations of the founders—the disquisitions of Hooker, Shepard, and Cotton—were written in Europe, or else, if actually penned in the colonies, proceeded from a thoroughly European mentality, upon which the American scene made no impression whatsoever. The most striking example of this imperviousness is the poetry of Anne Bradstreet: she came to Massachusetts at the age of eighteen, already two years married to Simon Bradstreet; there, she says, “I found a new world and new manners, at which my heart rose” in rebellion, but soon convincing herself that it was the way of God, she submitted and joined the Church. She bore Simon eight children, and loved him sincerely, as her most charming poem, addressed to him, reveals:

If ever two were one, then surely we;
If ever man were loved by wife, then thee.

After the house burned, she wrote a lament about how her pleasant things in ashes lay and how no more the merriment of guests would sound in the hall; but there is nothing in the poem to suggest that the house stood in North Andover or that the things so tragically consumed were doubly precious because they had been transported across the ocean and were utterly irreplaceable in the wilderness. In between rearing children and keeping house she wrote her poetry; her brother-in-law carried the manuscript to London, and there published it in 1650 under the ambitious title, *The Tenth Muse Lately Sprung Up in America*. But the title is the only thing about the volume which shows any sense of America, and that little

merely in order to prove that the plantations had something in the way of European wit and learning, that they had not receded into barbarism. Anne's flowers are English flowers, the birds, English birds, and the landscape is Lincolnshire. So also with the productions of immigrant scholarship: such a learned and acute work as Hooker's *Survey of the Summe of Church Discipline*, which is specifically about the regime set up in America, is written entirely within the logical patterns, and out of the religious experience, of Europe; it makes no concession to new and peculiar circumstances.

The titles alone of productions in the next generation show how concentrated have become emotion and attention upon the interest of New England, and none is more revealing than Samuel Danforth's conception of an errand into the wilderness. Instead of being able to compose abstract treatises like those of Hooker upon the soul's preparation, humiliation or exultation, or such a collection of wisdom and theology as John Cotton's *The Way of Life* or Shepard's *The Sound Believer*, these later saints must, over and over again, dwell upon the specific sins of New England, and the more they denounce, the more they must narrow their focus to the provincial problem. If they write upon anything else, it must be about the Half-Way Covenant and its manifold consequences—a development enacted wholly in this country—or else upon their wars with the Indians. Their range is sadly constricted, but every effort, no matter how brief, is addressed to the persistent question: what is the meaning of this society in the wilderness? If it does not mean what Winthrop said it must mean, what under Heaven is it? Who, they are forever asking themselves, who are we?—and sometimes they are on the verge of saying, who the Devil are we, anyway?

This brings us back to the fundamental ambiguity concealed in the word "errand," that *double-entente* of which I am certain Danforth was aware when he published the words that give point to the exhibition. While it was true that, in 1630, the covenant philosophy of a special and peculiar bond lifted the Migration out of the ordinary realm of nature, provided it with a definite mission which might in the secondary sense be called its errand, there was always present in Puritan thinking the suspicion that God's saints are at best inferiors, despatched by their Superior upon particular assignments. Anyone who has run errands for other people,

particularly for people of great importance with many things on their minds, such as Army commanders, knows how real is the peril that, by the time he returns with the report of a message delivered or a bridge blown up, the superior may be interested in something else; the situation at headquarters may be entirely changed, and the gallant errand-boy, or the husband who desperately remembered to buy the ribbon, may be told that he is too late. This tragic pattern appears again and again in modern warfare: an agent is dropped by parachute and, after immense hardships, comes back to find that, in the shifting tactical or strategic situation, his contribution is no longer of value. If he gets home in time and his service proves useful, he receives a medal; otherwise, no matter what prodigies he has performed, he may not even be thanked. He has been sent, as the devastating phrase has it, upon a fool's errand, than which there can be a no more shattering blow to self-esteem.

The Great Migration of 1630 felt insured against such treatment from on high by the covenant; nevertheless, the God of the covenant always remained an unpredictable Jehovah, a *Deus Absconditus*. When God promises to abide by stated terms, His word, of course, is to be trusted; but then, what is man that he dare accuse Omnipotence of tergiversation? But if any such apprehension was in Winthrop's mind as he spoke on the *Arbella*, or in the minds of other apologists for the enterprise, they kept it far back and allowed it no utterance. They could stifle the thought, not only because Winthrop and his colleagues believed fully in the covenant, but because they could see in the pattern of history that their errand was not a mere scouting expedition: it was an essential maneuver in the drama of Christendom. The Bay Company was not a battered remnant of suffering Separatists thrown up on a rocky shore; it was an organized task-force of Christians, executing a flank attack on the corruptions of Christendom. These Puritans did not flee to America; they went in order to work out that complete reformation which was not yet accomplished in England and Europe, but which would quickly be accomplished if only the saints back there had a working model to guide them. It is impossible to say that any who sailed from Southampton really expected to lay his bones in the new world; were it to come about—as all in their heart of hearts anticipated—that the forces of righteousness should prevail against Laud and Wentworth, that England after all should turn toward reformation,

where else would the distracted country look for leadership except to those who in New England had perfected the ideal polity and who would know how to administer it? This was the large unspoken assumption in the errand of 1630: if the conscious intention were realized, not only would a federated Jehovah bless the new land, but He would bring back these temporary colonials to govern England.

In this respect, therefore, we may say that the Migration was running an errand in the earlier and more primitive sense of the word—performing a job not so much for Jehovah as for history, which was the wisdom of Jehovah expressed through time. Winthrop was aware of this aspect of the mission—fully conscious of it. “For wee must Consider that wee shall be as a Citty upon a Hill, the eies of all people are upon us.” More was at stake than just one little colony. If we deal falsely with God, not only will He descend upon us in wrath, but even more terribly, He will make us “a story and a by-word through the world, wee shall open the mouthes of enemies to speake evill of the wayes of god and all professours for Gods sake.” No less than John Milton was New England to justify God’s ways to man, though not, like him, in the agony and confusion of defeat but in the confidence of approaching triumph. This errand was being run for the sake of Reformed Christianity; and while the first aim was indeed to realize in America the due form of government, both civil and ecclesiastical, the aim behind that aim was to vindicate the most rigorous ideal of the Reformation, so that ultimately all Europe would imitate New England. If we succeed, Winthrop told his audience, men will say of later plantations, “the lord make it like that of New England.” There was an elementary prudence to be observed: Winthrop said that the prayer would arise from subsequent plantations, yet what was England itself but one of God’s plantations? In America, he promised, we shall see, or may see, more of God’s wisdom, power, and truth “then formerly wee have beene acquainted with.” The situation was such that, for the moment, the model had no chance to be exhibited in England; Puritans could talk about it, theorize upon it, but they could not display it, could not prove that it would actually work. But if they had it set up in America—in a bare land, devoid of already established (and corrupt) institutions, empty of bishops and courtiers, where they could start *de novo*, and the eyes of the world were upon it—and if then it performed just

as the saints had predicted of it, the Calvinist internationale would know exactly how to go about completing the already begun but temporarily stalled revolution in Europe.

When we look upon the enterprise from this point of view, the psychology of the second and third generations becomes more comprehensible. We realize that the Migration was not sent upon its errand in order to found the United States of America, nor even the New England conscience. Actually, it would not perform its errand even when the colonists did erect a due form of government in church and state: what was further required in order for this mission to be a success was that the eyes of the world be kept fixed upon it in rapt attention. If the rest of the world, or at least of Protestantism, looked elsewhere, or turned to another model, or simply got distracted and forgot about New England, if the new land was left with a polity nobody wanted—then every success in fulfilling the terms of the covenant would become a diabolical measure of failure. If the due form of government were not everywhere to be saluted, what would New England have upon its hands? How give it a name, this victory nobody could utilize? How provide an identity for something conceived under misapprehensions? How could a universal which turned out to be nothing but a provincial particular be called anything but a blunder or an abortion?

If an actor, playing the leading role in the greatest dramatic spectacle of the century, were to attire himself and put on his make-up, rehearse his lines, take a deep breath, and stride onto the stage, only to find the theatre dark and empty, no spotlight working, and himself entirely alone, he would feel as did New England around 1650 or 1660. For in the 1640's, during the Civil Wars, the colonies, so to speak, lost their audience. First of all, there proved to be, deep in the Puritan movement, an irreconcilable split between the Presbyterian and Independent wings, wherefore no one system could be imposed upon England, and so the New England model was unserviceable. Secondly—most horrible to relate—the Independents, who in polity were carrying New England's banner and were supposed, in the schedule of history, to lead England into imitation of the colonial order, betrayed the sacred cause by yielding to the heresy of toleration. They actually welcomed Roger Williams, whom the leaders of the model

had kicked out of Massachusetts so that his nonsense about liberty of conscience would not spoil the administrations of charity.

In other words, New England did not lie, did not falter; it made good everything Winthrop demanded—wonderfully good—and then found that its lesson was rejected by those choice spirits for whom the exertion had been made. By casting out Williams, Anne Hutchinson, and the Antinomians, along with an assortment of Gortonists and Anabaptists, into that cesspool then becoming known as Rhode Island, Winthrop, Dudley, and the clerical leaders showed Oliver Cromwell how he should go about governing England. Instead, he developed the utterly absurd theory that as long as a man made a good soldier in the New Model Army, it did not matter whether he was a Calvinist, an Antinomian, an Arminian, an Anabaptist or even—horror of horrors—a Socinian! Year after year, as the circus tours this country, crowds howl with laughter, no matter how many times they have seen the stunt, at the bustle that walks by itself: the clown comes out dressed in a large skirt with a bustle behind; he turns sharply to the left, and the bustle continues blindly and obstinately straight ahead, on the original course. It is funny in a circus, but not in history. There is nothing but tragedy in the realization that one was in the main path of events, and now is sidetracked and disregarded. One is always able, of course, to stand firm on his first resolution, and to condemn the clown of history for taking the wrong turning: yet this is a desolating sort of Stoicism, because it always carries with it the recognition that history will never come back to the predicted path, and that with one's own demise, righteousness must die out of the world.

The most humiliating element in the experience was the way the English brethren turned upon the colonials for precisely their greatest achievement. It must have seemed, for those who came with Winthrop in 1630 and who remembered the clarity and brilliance with which he set forth the conditions of their errand, that the world was turned upside down and inside out when in June, 1645, thirteen leading Independent divines—such men as Goodwin, Owen, Nye, Burroughs, formerly friends and allies of Hooker and Davenport, men who might easily have come to New England and helped extirpate heretics—wrote the General Court that the colony's law banishing Anabaptists was an embarrassment to the

Independent cause in England. Opponents were declaring, said these worthies, "that persons of our way, principall and spirit cannot beare with Dissentors from them, but Doe correct, fine, imprison and banish them wherever they have power soe to Doe." There were indeed people in England who admired the severities of Massachusetts, but we assure you, said the Independents, these "are utterly your enemyes and Doe seeke your extirpation from the face of the earth: those who now in power are your friends are quite otherwise minded, and doe professe they are much offended with your proceedings." Thus early commenced that chronic weakness in the foreign policy of Americans, an inability to recognize who in truth constitute their best friends abroad.

We have lately accustomed ourselves to the fact that there does exist a mentality which will take advantage of the liberties allowed by society in order to conspire for the ultimate suppression of those same privileges. The government of Charles I and Archbishop Laud had not, where that danger was concerned, been liberal, but it had been conspicuously inefficient; hence, it did not liquidate the Puritans (although it made half-hearted efforts), nor did it herd them into prison camps. Instead, it generously, even lavishly, gave a group of them a charter to Massachusetts Bay, and obligingly left out the standard clause requiring that the document remain in London, that the grantees keep their office within reach of Whitehall. Winthrop's revolutionaries availed themselves of this liberty to get the charter over-seas, and thus to set up a regime dedicated to the worship of God in the manner they desired—which meant allowing nobody else to worship any other way, especially adherents of Laud and King Charles. All this was perfectly logical and consistent. But what happened to the thought processes of their fellows in England made no sense whatsoever. Out of the New Model Army came the fantastic notion that a party struggling for power should proclaim that, once it captured the state, it would recognize the right of dissenters to disagree and to have their own worship, to hold their own opinions. Oliver Cromwell was so far gone in this idiocy as to become a dictator, in order to impose toleration by force! Amid this shambles, the errand of New England collapsed. There was nobody left at headquarters to whom reports could be sent.

Many a man has done a brave deed, been hailed as a public hero, had honors and ticker-tape heaped upon him—and then had to live, day after

day, in the ordinary routine, eating breakfast and brushing his teeth, in what seems protracted anticlimax. A couple may win their way to each other across insuperable obstacles, elope in a blaze of passion and glory—and then have to learn that life is a matter of buying the groceries and getting the laundry done. This sense of the meaning having gone out of life, that all adventures are over, that no great days and no heroism lie ahead, is particularly galling when it falls upon a son whose father once was the public hero or the great lover. He has to put up with the daily routine without ever having known at first hand the thrill of danger or the ecstasy of passion. True, he has his own hardships—clearing rocky pastures, hauling in the cod during a storm, fighting Indians in a swamp—but what are these compared with the magnificence of leading an exodus of saints to found a city on a hill, for the eyes of all the world to behold? He might put up a stout fight against the Indians, and one out of ten of his fellows might perish in the struggle, but the world was no longer interested. He would be reduced to writing accounts of himself and scheming to get a publisher in London, in a desperate effort to tell a heedless world, “Look, I exist!”

His greatest difficulty would be not the stones, storms, and Indians, but the problem of his identity. In something of this sort, I should like to suggest, consists the anxiety and torment that inform productions of the late seventeenth and early eighteenth centuries—and should I say, some thereafter? It appears most clearly in *Magnalia Christi Americana*, the work of that soul most tortured by the problem, Cotton Mather: “I write the Wonders of the Christian Religion, flying from the Depravations of Europe, to the American Strand.” Thus he proudly begins, and at once trips over the acknowledgment that the founders had not simply fled from depraved Europe, but had intended to redeem it. And so the book is full of lamentations over the declension of the children, who appear, page after page, in contrast to their mighty progenitors, about as profligate a lot as ever squandered a great inheritance.

And yet, the *Magnalia* is not an abject book; neither are the election sermons abject, nor is the inventory of sins offered by the Synod of 1679. There is bewilderment, confusion, chagrin, but there is no surrender. A task has been assigned upon which the populace are in fact intensely engaged. But they are not sure any more for just whom they are working;

they know they are moving, but they do not know where they are going. They seem still to be on an errand, but if they are no longer inferiors sent by the superior forces of the Reformation, to whom they should report, then their errand must be wholly of the second sort, something with a purpose and an intention sufficient unto itself. If so, what is it? If it be not the due form of government, civil and ecclesiastical, that they brought into being, how otherwise can it be described?

The literature of self-condemnation must be read for meanings far below the surface, for meanings of which, we may be so rash as to surmise, the authors were not fully conscious, but by which they were troubled and goaded. They looked in vain to history for an explanation of themselves; more and more it appeared that the meaning was not to be found in theology, even with the help of the covenantal dialectic. Thereupon, these citizens found that they had no other place to search but within themselves,—even though, at first sight, that repository appeared to be nothing but a sink of iniquity. Their errand having failed in the first sense of the term, they were left with the second, and required to fill it with meaning by themselves and out of themselves. Having failed to rivet the eyes of the world upon their city on the hill, they were left alone with America.

Errand Into The Wilderness New England, 1602-1753

An Exhibition held in the John Carter Brown Library

May 16—September 30, 1952

The purpose of the exhibition of books, maps, and manuscripts listed in the following pages was to set forth, as far as might be done with such materials, the New England experience, body and spirit, from the first tentative settlement of that area to the beginning of the Revolutionary period. The attempt was made to answer certain questions—what ideas had the settlers brought with them; what fruit had those ideas borne in matters of church, state, and society; and what forces had worked together to bring about the changes in ideas which unquestionably occurred in the century and a half thus reviewed.

With these purposes in mind, it was necessary to make clear through contemporary writings how and when and why certain settlements had been made, and to differentiate between Plymouth and the Bay Colony; between the Bay Colony and Connecticut; and between these parent settlements and the frontier colonies of Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont. It was important to show the place of the Indian in the life of the New Englander, both as enemy and as a fellow being who called forth his Christian benevolence. Other influences had to be considered—wars with the French, for example, literature and education, science and industry, all obviously important in the development which occurred in the period. And it was imperative to take cognizance of the continuous conflict of ideas in matters of church doctrine and polity, a condition which affected every succeeding period of New England life.

The 118 elements of the exhibition thus conceived were disposed among the cases and on the map panels in the broad classifications into which the following list is divided. The difficult part of making this exhibition lay in the necessity for a strict selectiveness, the necessity for choosing from a large collection a small number of books which would illustrate the ideas underlying the exhibition. It is hoped that the list will form for many readers an informative appendage to Mr. Miller's interpretation of New England's "Errand into the Wilderness."

THE EXHIBITON

(*Entries of maps and manuscripts are in italics.*)

EARLY CARTOGRAPHY AND EXPLORATION

SAGAN LANDNAMA um fyrstu bygging Islands af Nordmonnum . . .
Skálholt, [Iceland], 1688.

(A source of twelfth-century origin for the history of the Norse Discovery of America.)

VESCONTE DE MAGGIOLO. [*Manuscript atlas*], Genoa, 1511.

(The world map shows in its legend upon the Northeast coast—*i.e.*, “Terra de los Ingres”—knowledge of the Cabot discoveries of 1497.)

GIOVANNI BATTISTA RAMUSIO. Terzo Volume delle Nauigationi et Viaggi nel quale si contengono le Nauigationi al Mondo Nuouo . . .
Venice, 1556.

(The 1524 voyage of Giovanni da Verrazano.)

[GIOVANNI BATTISTA RAMUSIO?] M.D.XXXIII. *Del mese di Dicembre. La carta uniuersale della terra ferma & Isole delle Indie occidēтали . . .*
[Venice, 1534.]

(A map of the Americas showing as one of its interesting features the area of exploration—in which New England was included—of the Estévan Gómez expedition of 1524.)

RICHARD HAKLUYT. *Diuers voyages touching the discoverie of America, and the Ilands adiacent vnto the same . . .* London, 1582.

MICHAEL LOK. *Illustri Viro, Domino Philippo Sidnaeo Michael Lok Civis Londinensis hanc Chartam dedicabat.* 1582.

(World map in Hakluyt, *Diuers voyages*, 1582.)

ROBERT THORNE. *This is the forme of a Mappe sent 1527. from Siuill in Spayne by maister Robert Thorne marchaunt, to Doctor Ley . . .*

(World map in Hakluyt, *Diuers voyages*, 1582.)

JOHN BRERETON. A Briefe and true Relation of the Discouerie of the North part of Virginia . . . Made this present yeere 1602, by Captaine Bartholomew Gosnold . . . London, 1602.

(In this and the following title, the term "Virginia" is used in its contemporary broad sense. These voyages concern New England.)

JAMES ROSIER. A true Relation of the most prosperous voyage made this present yeere 1605, by Captaine George Waymouth, in the Discouery of the land of Virginia . . . London, 1605.

JOHN SMITH, Captain. *New England the most remarqueable parts thus named by the high and mighty Prince Charles, Prince of great Britaine.* London, 1614.

(Map in John Smith, *A Description of New England*, 1616.)

THE PILGRIM SETTLEMENT AT PLYMOUTH

ROBERT CUSHMAN. A Sermon preached at Plimmoth in New-England December 9. 1621 . . . Together with a Preface, Shewing the state of the Country, and Condition of the Savages . . . London, 1622.

GEORGE MOURT, *or* MORTON. A Relation or Iournall of the beginning and proceedings of the English Plantation setled at Plimoth in New England . . . London, 1622.

JOHN SMITH, *Captain*. *New Englands Trials.* Declaring the successe of 80 Ships employed thither within these eight yeares . . . The second edition. London, 1622.

COUNCIL FOR NEW ENGLAND. A briefe Relation of the Discovery and Plantation of New England . . . London, 1622.

JOHN PORY. *A Coppie of so much of Mr: Poreys Letter to the Lord of Southampton as concerneth his relation of New-England.* [Manuscript, 1622.]

(Contains the observations upon the Plymouth Colony made by John Pory, secretary of Virginia, in the course of his voyage in 1622 from Virginia to England.)

EDWARD WINSLOW. *Good Newes from New-England: or a true Relation of things very remarkable at the Plantation of Plimoth in New-England* . . . London, 1624.

WILLIAM BRADFORD, and others. *Worthy Sr Yor lre from the generall Court under the hand of yor Secretary we have received . . .* [Letter signed, dated the 6th of the 4th month 1646.]

(A communication to John Winthrop, Governor of Massachusetts Bay, signed by the leaders of the Plymouth Colony—William Bradford, Edward Winslow, Thomas Prince, and John Browne—with a notation signed by the recipient, Governor Winthrop.)

NATHANIEL MORTON. *New-Englands Memoriall . . . with special Reference to the first Colony thereof, Called New-Plimouth . . . Cambridge, [Massachusetts], 1669.*

PEREGRINE WHITE. [Document, signed, dated Sept. 5, 1667.]

(Peregrine White was born on the Mayflower, at anchor off Cape Cod, in December, 1620, the first English child born in New England.)

PLYMOUTH COLONY. *The Book of the general Laws of the Inhabitants of the Jurisdiction of New-Plimouth . . . Cambridge, [Massachusetts], 1672.*

THE MASSACHUSETTS BAY COLONIES, MAINE, NEW HAMPSHIRE, CONNECTICUT, AND RHODE ISLAND

WILLIAM STOUGHTON and JOHN BULKELEY. [Manuscript map, on vellum, of New England. c. 1678.]

(Primarily designed as an element in the boundary dispute between Massachusetts and New Hampshire. Copied from the original taken to England in 1677 by Stoughton and Bulkeley, the Massachusetts agents.)

Sir WILLIAM ALEXANDER, *Earl of Stirling*. *An Encouragement to Colonies . . . London, 1624.*

(Republished in 1630 with the more specific title *The Mapp and Description of New-England*.)

CHRISTOPHER LEVETT. *A Voyage into New England Begun in 1623. and ended in 1624 . . . London, 1628.*

(Concerned with settlement in Maine and New Hampshire.)

[JOHN WHITE.] *The Planters Plea. Or the Grounds of Plantations examined, and vsuall Objections answered . . . London, 1630.*

(A group of members of the unsuccessful Cape Ann colony, here described, established a settlement at Naumkeag, later to be known as Salem.)

Sir FERDINANDO GORGES. *America Painted to the Life* . . . London, 1659.

(Part I is an account of the settlements in the colonies of Massachusetts Bay, Plymouth, and Maine.)

[FRANCIS HIGGINSON.] *New-Englands Plantation. Or, a short and true Description of the Commodities and Discommodities of that Countrey* . . . London, 1630.

JOHN SMITH, *Captain*. *Advertisements for the unexperienced Planters of New-England* . . . London, 1631.

THOMAS MORTON. *New English Canaan or New Canaan. Containing an Abstract of New England* . . . Amsterdam, 1637.

WILLIAM WOOD. *New Englands Prospect. A true, lively and experimentall description of* . . . New England . . . London, 1634.

THE SOUTH PART of New-England, as it is Planted this yeare, 1634.
[London, 1634.]

(Map in Wood, *New Englands Prospect*, 1634.)

THOMAS LECHFORD. *Plain Dealing: or, Newes from New-England* . . . London, 1642.

JOHN CHILD. *New-Englands Jonas Cast up at London: or, a Relation of the Proceedings of the Court at Boston in New-England against divers honest and godly persons, for Petitioning for Government in the Common-wealth, according to the Lawes of England* . . . London, 1647.

(On page 9 is shown "The Oath of a Free-Man" in the earliest printed form known today. No copy remains of its first printing at Cambridge, Massachusetts, in 1639.)

ROBERT RYDER. [Manuscript map on vellum, colored, showing Long Island and the Connecticut shore. c. 1675.]

JOHN WINTHROP, Governor of Connecticut. *To the High & Mighty His most Excellent Majesty Charles the second* . . . [Document, signed, undated, c. 1661.]

(In this address, John Winthrop asked audience of Charles II, so that he might place the colony of Connecticut under "Yor Majestys Favor & Gracious Protection.")

CHARLES II, *King of Great Britain*. The Charter Granted . . . to the Colony of Rhode-Island and Providence-Plantations in America. Boston, 1719.
(Under this charter, granted in 1663 but first printed in 1719, Rhode Island was governed until 1842.)

CHARLES II, *King of Great Britain*. At the Court at Whitehall, the 20th of July, 1683 . . . London, 1683.
(An order directing the delivery to the Governor of Massachusetts of the writ *Quo Warranto*, rescinding the charter of that colony.)

AN ACCOUNT of the Late Revolutions in New-England; in a Letter . . .
[Boston, 1689.]

INDIAN WARS

PHILIP VINCENT. A True Relation of the Late Battell fought in New England, between the English, and the Salvages . . . London, 1637.

JOHN UNDERHILL. *Newes from America; or, a new and experimentall Discoverie of New England* . . . London, 1638.

JOHN UNDERHILL. *The figure of the Indians' fort or Palizado in New England and the maner of the destroying it by Captayne Vnderhill and Captayne Mason*.
(Engraved plan of the Pequot fort at Mystic, Connecticut, in Underhill, *Newes from America*, London, 1638.)

JOHN WINTHROP. A Declaration of former Passages and Proceedings betwixt the English and the Narrowgansets . . . [Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1645.]
(The earliest historical document relating to the Indians to be printed in English America.)

JOHN WINTHROP, JR., and others. *At a meeting of the commissionrs of the united Colonys by adjournemt. in Boston. novemb. 2. 1675. [Document, signed.]*
(An act of the Commissioners declaring their purpose to invade the Narragansett country, a decision which marked the beginning of the end of the Narragansetts as a nation.)

A FARTHER BRIEF AND TRUE NARRATION of the late Wars risen in

New-England . . . With an Account of the Fight, the 19th of December last, 1675 . . . London, 1676.

(The Great Swamp Fight of 1675.)

WILLIAM BRADFORD, Major. *honl Sir After my Best respects . . .* [*Autograph letter, signed, dated "from mount hope 21 July 75".*]

(A letter from the field of action written to the Reverend John Cotton, telling of the recent failure of the New England troops to capture King Philip at Pocasset Neck. William Bradford and John Cotton were sons of the Plymouth and Boston pioneers of the same names.)

INCREASE MATHER. A brief History of the Warr with the Indians in New-England . . . Boston, 1676.

WILLIAM HUBBARD. A Narrative of the Troubles with the Indians in New-England . . . Boston, 1677.

[JOHN FOSTER.] *A Map of New-England . . .* [Boston, 1677.]

(Woodcut map in Hubbard, *A Narrative of the Troubles with the Indians*, 1677. The earliest map to be engraved and printed in English America.)

JOHN WILLIAMS. *The Redeemed Captive, Returning to Zion. A Faithful History of Remarkable Occurrences, in the Captivity and the Deliverance of Mr. John Williams . . .* Boston, 1707.

(The Deerfield massacre of 1704 and subsequent history of several persons concerned.)

THOMAS SYMMES. *Historical Memoirs of the Late Fight at Piggwacket . . . The Second Edition Corrected . . .* Boston, 1725.

THE CONFERENCE WITH THE EASTERN INDIANS, at the Ratification of the Peace, held at Falmouth in Casco-Bay, in July and August, 1726.

THE INDIANS AND CHRISTIAN EVANGELISM

ROGER WILLIAMS. *A Key into the Language of America . . .* London, 1643.

MAMUSSE WUNNEETUPANATAMWE *Up-Biblum God . . .* Cambridge, [Massachusetts], 1663.

(The Old and New Testaments, translated into the language of the Massachusetts Indians by John Eliot.)

JOHN ELIOT. *The Indian Grammar begun: or, an Essay to bring the Indian Language into Rules.* Cambridge, [Massachusetts], 1666.

ROGER WILLIAMS. *To ye much honoured Thomas Hinckley Presidnt & ye rest of ye much honoured Commissionrs from ye respective Colonies this 18 Jun. 1678 (so calld) sitting at Providence in N. Engl . . . [Autograph letter, signed.]*

(In this letter, Roger Williams tells his correspondent that in the hour of death Canonicus, "ye great Sachim of ye Nahigonsik", had sent for him to close his eyes, a service of friendship which he performed.)

[THOMAS SHEPARD.] *The Day-Breaking, if not the Sun-Rising of the Gospell with the Indians in New-England . . . London, 1647.*

EXPERIENCE MAYHEW. *Indian Converts: or, some Account of the Lives and Dying Speeches of a considerable Number of the Christianized Indians of Martha's Vineyard, in New England . . . London, 1727.*

JOHN SERGEANT. *A Letter from the Revd Mr. Sergeant of Stockbridge, to Dr. Colman of Boston; concerning Mr. Sergeant's Proposal of a more effectual Method for the Education of Indian Children . . . Boston, 1743.*

ELEAZAR WHEELOCK. *A plain and faithful Narrative of the Original Design, Rise, Progress and present State of the Indian Charity-School at Lebanon, in Connecticut . . . Boston, 1763.*

(Dartmouth College was the outgrowth of the Indian School at Lebanon.)

WARS WITH THE FRENCH

THOMAS SAVAGE. *An Account of the late Action of the New-Englanders, under the Command of Sir William Phips, against the French at Canada . . . London, 1691.*

(The successful expedition against Port Royal in 1690.)

JEREMIAH DUMMER. *A Letter to a Noble Lord, concerning the Late Expedition to Canada.* London, 1712.

(Defense of the New England participants in the unsuccessful joint expedition of 1711 against Quebec.)

Sir WILLIAM PEPPERRELL, *bart.* *An accurate Journal and Account of the Proceedings of the New-England Land-Forces, during the late Expedition*

against the French Settlements on Cape Breton, to the Time of the Surrender of Louisbourg . . . Exon [Exeter], 1746.

Sir WILLIAM PEPPERRELL, bart., and Sir PETER WARREN. Sir, By Letters from the Duke of New Castle of the 10th. of August last . . . [Letter, signed, marked "Duplicate", and dated "Louisbourg Janry: 25th: 1745" (1746).]

(Letter to Governor Gideon Wanton of Rhode Island from Pepperrell and Admiral Warren, concerning the necessity of "new Levies of Men" from the colonies to hold the fortress while awaiting troops from Gibraltar.)

SAMUEL BLODGETT. A Prospective Plan of the Battle fought near Lake George on the 8th of September 1755, between 2000 English with 250 Mohawks under the Command of General Johnson and 2500 French and Indians under the Command of General Dieskau . . . [Boston, 1755.]

(Engraved plan, drawn on the spot by Samuel Blodgett, and engraved by Thomas Johnston, of Boston, in Blodgett, *A Prospective-Plan of the Battle near Lake George*, Boston, 1755.)

JOHN MAYLEM. *Gallic Perfidy: a Poem* . . . Boston, 1758.

(A Newport poet bitterly records first-hand experience of the massacre at Fort William Henry and a subsequent captivity in Canada.)

CULTURAL LIFE

ANNE BRADSTREET. *The Tenth Muse Lately sprung up in America. Or Several Poems, compiled with great variety of Wit and learning* . . . London, 1650.

MICHAEL WIGGLESWORTH. *The day of Doom: or, a Description of the Great and Last Judgment* . . . London, 1673.

ROGER WOLCOTT. *Poetical Meditations*. New London, 1725.

PETER FOLGER. *A Looking Glass for the Times*. Newport, 1763.

(A protest against the Massachusetts treatment of the Quakers, composed in verse by Benjamin Franklin's grandfather in 1676.)

THE WHOLE BOOKE OF PSALMES Faithfully translated into English Metre . . . [Cambridge, Massachusetts], 1640.

(The first book printed in the United States.)

THOMAS WALTER. *The Grounds and Rules of Musick Explained: or, an Introduction to the Art of Singing by Note . . . The Second Edition.* Boston, 1723.

FRANCESCO GEMINIANI. *An Abstract of Geminiani's Art of playing on the Violin, and of another Book of Instructions for playing in a true Taste on the Violin, German Flute, Violoncello, and the thorough Bass on the Harpsichord . . .* Boston, 1769.

JAMES HODDER. *Hodder's Arithmetick: or, that Necessary Art Made most Easy . . .* Boston, 1719.

(The first arithmetical textbook published in the United States. The relief cut of the author, probably by James Franklin, is one of the earliest portrait engravings made in this country.)

EZEKIEL CHEEVER. *A Short Introduction to the Latin Tongue. For the Use of the Lower Forms in the Latin School . . . The Second Edition . . .* Boston, 1713.

NEW ENGLANDS FIRST FRUITS; in respect, First of the Conversion of some, Conviction of divers, Preparation of sundry of the Indians. 2. Of the progresse of Learning, in the Colledge at Cambridge in Massacuset Bay . . . London, 1643.

(The first adequate printed account of Harvard College.)

THOMAS CLAP. *A Catalogue of the Library of Yale-College in New-Haven.* New London, 1743.

SCIENCE, INDUSTRY, AND ECONOMICS

BENJAMIN HUBBARD. *Orthodoxal Navigation. Or, the Admirable and excellent Art of arithmetically Great Circle-Sailing, enlarged and applied to places of all Situations . . .* London, 1656.

(At the time of composition, the author was living at Charlestown, Massachusetts.)

SAMUEL DANFORTH. *An Astronomical Description of the late Comet or Blazing-Star as it appeared in New England in November, December, January, and in the beginning of February 1664 . . .* London, 1666.

INCREASE MATHER. *Several Reasons Proving that Inoculating or Transplanting the Small Pox, is a Lawful Practice . . .* Boston, 1721.

THE HUSBANDMAN'S GUIDE . . . Boston, 1710.

(The earliest work on agriculture known to have been published in the United States.)

JARED ELIOT. Essays upon Field-Husbandry in New-England . . . Boston, 1760.

EDMUND BUSHNELL. The compleat Ship-Wright . . . The Eighth Edition, carefully Corrected. London, 1716.

(This edition was addressed specifically to shipbuilders in Virginia and New England.)

SPERMACETI CANDLES Warranted pure, made & sold in Newport Rhode Island by George Rome . . . Nat. Hurd Sculp. [Boston, c. 1760.]

(Decorative engraved advertisement in English, French, Spanish, Dutch, and Italian.)

EDMUND QUINCY. A Treatise of Hemp-Husbandry; being a Collection of approved Instructions . . . With some introductory Observations, upon the Necessity which the American British Colonies are under, generally to engage in the said Production . . . Boston, 1765.

PAUL DUDLEY. Objections to the Bank of Credit Lately Projected at Boston . . . Boston, 1714.

[BENJAMIN COLMAN?] Some Reasons and Arguments offered to the Good People of Boston and adjacent Places for the setting up Markets in Boston. Boston, 1719.

AN EXACT TABLE to bring Old Tenor into Lawful Money. Also a Table to know the Value of Pistoles, Guineas, Johannes, and double Johannes, Moydores, English Crowns, Half-Crowns, Shillings, and Copper Half-Pence, at the Rate of Dollars at Six Shillings a Piece . . . Boston, 1750. [Broadside.]

CHURCH DOCTRINE AND POLITY. RELIGIOUS TOLERATION

JOHN ROBINSON. A iust and necessarie Apologie of certain Christians, no lesse contumeliously then commonly called Brownists or Barrowists . . . London, 1625.

(The fundamentals of the Separatist position maintained by the Pilgrim settlers of Plymouth were set forth in this book, first published in Latin at Leyden in 1619.)

JOHN WINTHROP, *and others*. The humble Request of His Maiesties loyall Subjects, the Governour and the Company late gone for New-England; To the rest of their Brethren, in and of the Church of England . . . London, 1630.

JOHN COTTON. The True Constitution of a particular visible Church, proved by Scripture . . . London, 1642.

[JOHN DAVENPORT.] An Answer of the Elders of the severall Churches in New-England unto Nine Positions, sent over to them (by divers Reverend and godly Ministers in England) to declare their Judgements therein . . . London, 1643. In [Richard Mather], *Church-Government and Church-Covenant discussed* . . . London, 1643.

JOHN COTTON. The Keyes of the Kingdom of Heaven, and Power thereof, according to the Word of God . . . London, 1644.

RICHARD MATHER, *and others*. A Platform of Church Discipline gathered out of the Word of God: and agreed upon by the Elders: and Messengers of the Churches assembled in the Synod at Cambridge in New England . . . Cambridge, [Massachusetts], 1649.

ROGER WILLIAMS. The Bloody Tenent, of Persecution, for cause of Conscience, discussed . . . [London], 1644.

JOHN COTTON. The Bloody Tenent, washed, and made white in the blood of the Lambe . . . London, 1647.

THOMAS SHEPARD. The Church-Membership of Children, and their Right to Baptisme, According to that holy and everlasting Covenant of God . . . Cleared up in a Letter . . . Cambridge, [Massachusetts], 1663.

A DISPUTATION CONCERNING CHURCH-MEMBERS and their Children . . . Discussed. By an Assembly of Divines meeting at Boston in New England, June 4th. 1657 . . . London, 1659.

PROPOSITIONS CONCERNING THE SUBJECT OF BAPTISM and Con-sociation of Churches; Collected . . . by a Synod of Elders and Messengers of the Churches . . . Assembled at Boston . . . London, 1662.

COTTON MATHER. *Magnalia Christi Americana: or, the Ecclesiastical*

History of New-England, from its First Planting in the year 1620. unto the Year of our Lord, 1698 . . . London, 1702.

A CONFESSIOIN OF FAITH Owned and Consented to by the Elders and Messengers of the Churches in the Colony of Connecticut . . . assembled by Delegation at Say-Brook September 9th. 1708 . . . New London, 1710.

JOHN WISE. A Vindication of the Government of New-England Churches . . . Boston, 1717.

INCREASE MATHER. "Questions and Proposals", in John Wise, *The Churches Quarrel Espoused* . . . *The Second Edition*, Boston, 1715.

JONATHAN EDWARDS. A careful and strict Enquiry into the modern prevailing Notions of that Freedom of Will, Which is supposed to be essential to Moral Agency . . . Boston, 1754.

JONATHAN EDWARDS. The Great Christian Doctrine of Original Sin defended . . . Boston, 1758.

THE NEW ENGLAND SERMON

JOHN HIGGINSON. The Cause of God and His People in New-England . . . discussed in a Sermon Preached before the Honourable General Court of the Massachusetts Colony, on the 27 day of May 1663. Being the Day of Election at Boston . . . Cambridge, [Massachusetts], 1663.

SAMUEL DANFORTH. A brief Recognition of New-Englands Errand into the Wilderness; Made in the Audience of the General Assembly of the Massachusetts Colony, at Boston in N. E. on the 11th of the third Moneth, 1670. being the Day of Election there. Cambridge, [Massachusetts], 1671.

JAMES ALLEN. New-Englands choicest Blessing . . . a Sermon Preached before the Court of Election at Boston on May 28. 1679 . . . Boston, 1679.

WILLIAM ADAMS. God's Eye on the Contrite or a Discourse . . . made in the Audience of the General Assembly of the Massachusetts Colony at Boston in New-England; May 27. 1685. being the Day of Election there . . . Boston, 1685.

URIAN OAKES. The unconquerable, all-conquering, & more-then conquering Souldier . . . a Sermon Preached at Boston in New-England, on the Day of the Artillery-Election there, June 3d. 1672 . . . Cambridge, [Massachusetts], 1674.

SAMUEL NOWELL. Abraham in Arms; or the first Religious General . . .
Delivered in an Artillery-Election-Sermon, June, 3. 1678 . . . Boston, 1678.

SAMUEL WILLARD. Prognosticks of Impending Calamities. Delivered in
a Sermon Preached on the Lecture at Boston, July 17. 1701. Occasioned
by the Death of the Truly Honourable William Stoughton Esq. Lieu-
tenant Governour, &c. of the Province of the Massachusetts Bay . . .
Boston, 1701.

BENJAMIN COLMAN. It is a fearful thing to fall into the Hands of the
Living God. A Sermon Preached to some miserable Pirates July 10. 1726.
On the Lord's Day, before their Execution . . . Boston, 1726.

PERSECUTION IN NEW ENGLAND: QUAKERS AND WITCHES

FRANCIS HOWGILL. The Popish Inquisition Newly Erected in New-
England . . . London, 1659.

JOHN ROUS, *and others*. New-England a Degenerate Plant: Who having
forgot their former Sufferings, and lost their ancient tenderness, are now
become famous among the Nations in bringing forth the fruits of cruelty
. . . London, 1659.

MARMADUKE STEPHENSON. A Call from Death to Life . . . Written by
Marmaduke Stephenson; Who . . . hath . . . suffered Death . . . amongst
the Professors of Bostons Jurisdiction in New England . . . London, 1660.

INCREASE MATHER. An Essay for the Recording of Illustrious Providences:
wherein, an Account is given of many Remarkable and very Memorable
Events, which have happened in this last Age; especially in New-England
. . . Boston and London (George Calvert issue), 1684.

COTTON MATHER. The Wonders of the Invisible World. Observations as
well Historical as Theological, upon the Nature, the Number, and the
Operations of the Devils . . . Boston, 1693.

INCREASE MATHER. Cases of Conscience Concerning Evil Spirits Personat-
ing Men . . . London, 1693. In [Deodat Lawson], *A further Account of
the Tryals of the New-England Witches* . . . London, 1693.

(*Cases of Conscience* by Increase Mather and the other Boston ministers
moved Governor Phips to suspend the Salem witch trials and thus to
bring to an end that celebrated example of mass hysteria.)

THE WILLIAM BYRD PRESS, INC.

HECKMAN
BINDERY INC.



1997

Bound-To-Please® N. MANCHESTER,
INDIANA 46962

